## APPEAL NO. 022209 FILED SEPTEMBER 27, 2002

| This appeal arises pursuant to the        | Texas Workers' Compen      | sation Act, TEX. LAB.   |
|---|----------------------------|-------------------------|
| CODE ANN. § 401.001 et seq. (1989 Ac      | t). A contested case he    | aring (CCH) was held    |
| on April 10, 2002. The following issues   | s were before the hearing  | ng officer: (1) Did the |
| appellant (claimant) sustain a compensa   | ble injury on              | ? and (2) Did the       |
| claimant have disability resulting from   | an injury on               | ? The hearing           |
| officer found that the claimant withdrew  | his case, understanding    | that he gave up his     |
| rights to any medical or income benefit   | s and any rights to furth  | ner pursue this claim.  |
| Because of this, the hearing officer dete | ermined that (1) the claim | nant did not sustain a  |
| compensable injury on,                    | and did not have disabilit | y. After an appeal by   |
| the claimant, the matter was remanded a   | and heard by hearing offi  | icer on July 16, 2002.  |
| The hearing officer found against the cla | imant, citing that he was  | not credible, and that  |
| he had not injured himself on             | , nor did he have di       | sability. The claimant  |
| has appealed these determinations and tl  | he carrier responds, seek  | ing affirmance.         |

## DECISION

We affirm.

The hearing officer is the sole judge of the relevance, materiality, weight, and credibility of the evidence presented at the hearing. Section 410.165(a). The decision should not be set aside because different inferences and conclusions may be drawn upon review, even when the record contains evidence that would lend itself to different inferences. Garza v. Commercial Insurance Company of Newark, New Jersey, 508 S.W.2d 701 (Tex. Civ. App.-Amarillo 1974, no writ).

The hearing officer has noted that he did not believe the claimant. In fact, he commented about this at the CCH after the claimant admitted that he was not truthful in a deposition that he gave in a motor vehicle accident lawsuit in which he was the plaintiff. A surveillance report indicated that as of September 2001, the claimant was moving around without visible restriction. We have reviewed the record and are satisfied that it fully supports the hearing officer's findings and conclusions.

The true corporate name of the insurance carrier is **TRINITY UNIVERSAL INSURANCE COMPANY** and the name and address of its registered agent for service of process is

## DONALD GENE SOUTHWELL 10000 NORTH CENTRAL EXPRESSWAY DALLAS, TEXAS 75265.

|                                     | Susan M. Kelley<br>Appeals Judge |
|-------------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| CONCUR:                             |                                  |
|                                     |                                  |
|                                     |                                  |
| Michael B. McShane<br>Appeals Judge |                                  |
|                                     |                                  |
|                                     |                                  |
| Robert W. Potts                     |                                  |
| Appeals Judge                       |                                  |